INTASC STANDARD 1: Learner Development

Mentor Teachers	Candidate N =	Range of scores	Mean	Standard Deviation	Candidates who achieved target (%)
Spring 2017	2	2	2	.00	2/2 100%
Totals	2	2	2	.00	2/2 100%

University Supervisors	Candidate N =	Range of scores	Mean	Standard Deviation	Candidates who achieved target (%)
Spring 2017	3	1-2	1.33	.47	1/3 33%
Totals	3	1-2	1.33	.47	1/3 33%

INTASC STANDARD 2: Learner Differences

Mentor Teachers	Candidate N =	Range of scores	Mean	Standard Deviation	Candidates who achieved Target (%)
Spring 2017	2	2	2	.00	2/2 100%
Totals	2	2	2	.00	2/2 100%

University Supervisors	Candidate N =	Range of scores	Mean	Standard Deviation	Candidates who achieved Target (%)
Spring 2017	3	1-2	1.33	.47	1/3 33%
Totals	3	1-2	1.33	.47	1/3 33%

INTASC STANDARD 3: Learning Environments

Mentor Teachers	Candidate N =	Range of scores	Mean	Standard Deviation	Candidates who achieved target (%)
Spring 2017	2	2	2	.00	2/2 100%
Totals	2	2	2	.00	2/2 100%

University Supervisors	Candidate N =	Range of scores	Mean	Standard Deviation	Candidates who achieved target (%)
Spring 2017	3	1-2	1.67	.47	2/3 67%
Totals	3	1-2	1.67	.47	2/3 67%

INTASC Standard 4: Content Knowledge

Mentor Teachers	Candidate N =	Range of scores	Mean	Standard Deviation	Candidates who achieved target (%)
Spring 2017	2	2	2	.00	2/2 100%
Totals	2	2	2	.00	2/2 100%

University Supervisors	Candidate N =	Range of scores	Mean	Standard Deviation	Candidates who achieved target (%)
Spring 2017	3	1-2	1.67	.47	2/3 67%
Totals	3	1-2	1.67	.47	2/3 67%

INTASC Standard 5: Application of Content

Mentor Teachers	Candidate N =	Range of scores	Mean	Standard Deviation	Candidates who achieved target (%)
Spring 2017	2	2	2	.00	2/2 100%
Totals	2	2	2	.00	2/2 100%

University Supervisors	Candidate N =	Range of scores	Mean	Standard Deviation	Candidates who achieved target (%)
Spring 2017	3	1-2	1.67	.47	2/3 67%
Totals	3	1-2	1.67	.47	2/3 67%

INTASC Standard 6: Assessment

Mentor Teachers	Candidate N =	Range of scores	Mean	Standard Deviation	Candidates who achieved target (%)
Spring 2017	2	2	2	.00	2/2 100%

Totals					2/2
	2	2	2	.00	100%

University Supervisors	Candidate N =	Range of scores	Mean	Standard Deviation	Candidates who achieved target (%)
Spring 2017					1/3
	3	1-2	1.33	.47	33%
Totals					1/3
	3	1-2	1.33	.47	33%

INTASC Standard 7: Planning for Instruction

Mentor Teachers	Candidate N =	Range of scores	Mean	Standard Deviation	Candidates who achieved target (%)
Spring 2017					2/2
	2	2	2	.00	100%
Totals					2/2
	2	2	2	.00	100%

University Supervisors	Candidate N =	Range of scores	Mean	Standard Deviation	Candidates who achieved target (%)
Spring 2017					2/3
	3	1-2	1.67	.47	67%
Totals					2/3
	3	1-2	1.67	.47	67%

INTASC Standard 8: Instructional Practice

Mentor Teachers	Candidate N =	Range of scores	Mean	Standard Deviation	Candidates who achieved target (%)
Spring 2017					2/2
	2	2	2	.00	100%
Totals					2/2
	2	2	2	.00	100%

University Supervisors	Candidate N =	Range of scores	Mean	Standard Deviation	Candidates who achieved target (%)
Spring 2017					2/3
	3	1-2	1.67	.47	67%
Totals					2/3
	3	1-2	1.67	.47	67%

INTASC Standard 9: Professional Learning and Ethical Practice

Mentor Teachers	Candidate N =	Range of scores	Mean	Standard Deviation	Candidates who achieved target (%)
Spring 2017	2	2	2	.00	2/2 100%
Totals	2	2	2	.00	2/2 100%

University Supervisors	Candidate N =	Range of scores	Mean	Standard Deviation	Candidates who achieved target (%)
Spring 2017	3	1-2	1.33	.47	1/3 33%
Totals	3	1-2	1.33	.47	1/3 33%

INTASC Standard 10: Leadership and Collaboration

Mentor Teachers	Candidate N =	Range of scores	Mean	Standard Deviation	Candidates who achieved target (%)
Spring 2017	2	2	2	.00	2/2 100%
Totals	2	2	2	.00	2/2 100%

University Supervisors	Candidate N =	Range of scores	Mean	Standard Deviation	Candidates who achieved target (%)
Spring 2017	3	1-2	1.33	.47	1/3 33%
Totals	3	1-2	1.33	.47	1/3 33%

*Missing data: 1 mentor teachers did not evaluate teacher candidates