

**Student Teaching Evaluations – ML/SEC  
Mentor Teacher & University Supervisor  
Executive Summary  
Spring 2017**

INTASC STANDARD 1: Learner Development					
Candidate N =	Range of scores	Mean	Standard Deviation	Candidates who achieved target (%)	
<b>Mentor Teachers</b>					
Spring 2017	2	2	2	.00	2/2 100%
Average	2	2	2	.00	2/2 100%
<b>University Supervisors</b>					
Spring 2017	3	1-2	1.33	.47	1/3 33%
Average	3	1-2	1.33	.47	1/3 33%
INTASC STANDARD 2: Learner Differences					
Candidate N =	Range of scores	Mean	Standard Deviation	Candidates who achieved Target (%)	
<b>Mentor Teachers</b>					
Spring 2017	2	2	2	.00	2/2 100%
Average	2	2	2	.00	2/2 100%
<b>University Supervisors</b>					
Spring 2017	3	1-2	1.33	.47	1/3 33%
Average	3	1-2	1.33	.47	1/3 33%
INTASC STANDARD 3: Learning Environments					
Candidate N =	Range of scores	Mean	Standard Deviation	Candidates who achieved target (%)	
<b>Mentor Teachers</b>					
Spring 2017	2	2	2	.00	2/2 100%
Average	2	2	2	.00	2/2 100%
<b>University Supervisors</b>					
Spring 2017	3	1-2	1.67	.47	2/3 66%
Average	3	1-2	1.67	.47	2/3 66%
INTASC STANDARD 4: Content Knowledge					
Candidate N =	Range of scores	Mean	Standard Deviation	Candidates who achieved target (%)	

<b>Mentor Teachers</b>					
Spring 2017	2	2	2	.00	2/2 100%
Average	2	2	2	.00	2/2 100%
<b>University Supervisors</b>					
Spring 2017	3	1-2	1.67	.47	2/3 66%
Average	3	1-2	1.67	.47	2/3 66%
<b>INTASC STANDARD 5: Application of Content Knowledge</b>					
	Candidate N =	Range of scores	Mean	Standard Deviation	Candidates who achieved target (%)
<b>Mentor Teachers</b>					
Spring 2017	2	2	2	.00	2/2 100%
Average	2	2	2	.00	2/2 100%
<b>University Supervisors</b>					
Spring 2017	3	1-2	1.67	.47	2/3 66%
Average	3	1-2	1.67	.47	2/3 66%
<b>INTASC STANDARD 6: Assessment</b>					
	Candidate N =	Range of scores	Mean	Standard Deviation	Candidates who achieved target (%)
<b>Mentor Teachers</b>					
Spring 2017	2	2	2	.00	2/2 100%
Average	2	2	2	.00	2/2 100%
<b>University Supervisors</b>					
Spring 2017	3	1-2	1.33	.47	1/3 33%
Average	3	1-2	1.33	.47	1/3 33%
<b>INTASC STANDARD 7: Planning Instruction</b>					
	Candidate N =	Range of scores	Mean	Standard Deviation	Candidates who achieved target (%)
<b>Mentor Teachers</b>					
Spring 2017	2	2	2	.00	2/2 100%
Average	2	2	2	.00	2/2 100%
<b>University Supervisors</b>					
Spring 2017	3	1-2	1.67	.47	2/3 66%

Average	3	1-2	1.67	.47	2/3 66%
<b>INTASC STANDARD 8: Instructional Strategies</b>	Candidate N =	Range of scores	Mean	Standard Deviation	Candidates who achieved target (%)
Mentor Teachers					
Spring 2017	2	2	2	.00	2/2 100%
Average	2	2	2	.00	2/2 100%
University Supervisors					
Spring 2017	3	1-2	1.67	.47	2/3 66%
Average	3	1-2	1.67	.47	2/3 66%
<b>INTASC STANDARD 9: Professional Learning and Ethical Practice</b>	Candidate N =	Range of scores	Mean	Standard Deviation	Candidates who achieved target (%)
Mentor Teachers					
Spring 2017	2	2	2	.00	2/2 100%
Average	2	2	2	.00	2/2 100%
University Supervisors					
Spring 2017	3	1-2	1.33	.47	1/3 33%
Average	3	1-2	1.33	.47	1/3 33%
<b>INTASC STANDARD 10: Leadership and Collaboration</b>	Candidate N =	Range of scores	Mean	Standard Deviation	Candidates who achieved target (%)
Mentor Teachers					
Spring 2017	2	2	2	.00	2/2 100%
Average	2	2	2	.00	2/2 100%
University Supervisors					
Spring 2017	2	1-2	1.50	.50	1/2 50%
Spring 2017	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	0/1
Average	2	1-2	1.50	.50	1/2 50%