

Practicum Evaluations
Mentor Teacher & University Supervisor
Executive Summary
Fall 2015 and Spring 2016

INTASC STANDARD 1: Learner Development					
Candidate N =	Range of scores	Mean	Standard Deviation	Candidates who achieved target (%)	
Mentor Teachers					
Fall 2015	32	0-2	1.73	.46	20/32 63%
Spring 2016	22	0-2	1.44	.56	13/22 59%
Average	28	0-2	1.59	.51	33/54 61%
University Supervisors					
Fall 2015	32	0-2	1.65	.65	22/32 69%
Spring 2016	22	0-2	1.42	.42	18/22 82%
Average	28	0-2	1.54	.54	40/54 74%
INTASC STANDARD 2: Learner Differences					
Candidate N =	Range of scores	Mean	Standard Deviation	Candidates who achieved Target (%)	
Mentor Teachers					
Fall 2015	32	0-2	1.73	.46	16/32 50%
Spring 2016	22	0-2	1.55	.56	10/22 45%
Average	28	0-2	1.43	.51	26/54 48%
University Supervisors					
Fall 2015	32	0-2	1.70	.70	23/32 72%
Spring 2016	22	0-2	1.54	.54	17/22 77%
Average	28	0-2	1.62	.62	40/54 74%
INTASC STANDARD 3: Learning Environments					
Candidate N =	Range of scores	Mean	Standard Deviation	Candidates who achieved target (%)	
Mentor Teachers					
Fall 2015	32	0-2	1.77	.43	23/32 72%
Spring 2016	22	0-2	1.72	.51	17/22 77%
Average	28	0-2	1.75	.47	40/54

					74%
University Supervisors					
Fall 2015	32	0-2	1.75	.44	26/32 81%
Spring 2016	22	0-2	1.71	.57	16/22 73%
Average	28	0-2	1.73	.51	42/54 78%
INTASC STANDARD 4: Content Knowledge					
	Candidate N =	Range of scores	Mean	Standard Deviation	Candidates who achieved target (%)
Mentor Teachers					
Fall 2015	32	0-2	1.68	.48	15/32 47%
Spring 2016	22	0-2	1.57	.60	10/22 45%
Average	28	0-2	1.63	.54	25/54 46%
University Supervisors					
Fall 2015	32	1-2	1.75	.75	27/32 84%
Spring 2016	22	0-2	1.60	.49	11/22 50%
Average	28	0-2	1.68	.62	38/54 70%
INTASC STANDARD 5: Application of Content Knowledge					
	Candidate N =	Range of scores	Mean	Standard Deviation	Candidates who achieved target (%)
Mentor Teachers					
Fall 2015	32	0-2	1.73	.46	17/32 53%
Spring 2016	22	0-2	1.41	.62	10/22 45%
Average	28	0-2	1.57	.54	27/54 50%
University Supervisors					
Fall 2015	32	1-2	1.73	.46	26/32 81%
Spring 2016	22	0-2	1.41	.62	17/22 77%
Average	28	0-2	1.57	.54	43/54 80%

INTASC STANDARD 6: Assessment	Candidate N =	Range of scores	Mean	Standard Deviation	Candidates who achieved target (%)
Fall 2015					
Spring 2016	32	0-2	1.50	.51	10/32 31%
Fall 2015	22	0-2	1.56	.57	8/22 36%
Average	28	0-2	1.53	.54	18/54 33%
University Supervisors					
Fall 2015	32	0-2	1.60	.60	19/32 59%
Spring 2016	22	0-2	1.54	.54	14/22 64%
Average	28	0-2	1.57	.57	33/54 61%
INTASC STANDARD 7: Planning Instruction					
	Candidate N =	Range of scores	Mean	Standard Deviation	Candidates who achieved target (%)
Mentor Teachers					
Fall 2015	32	0-2	1.68	.48	15/32 47%
Spring 2016	22	0-2	1.62	.55	11/22 50%
Average	28	0-2	1.65	.52	26/54 48%
University Supervisors					
Fall 2015	32	0-2	1.68	.68	22/32 68%
Spring 2016	22	0-2	1.60	.60	15/22 68%
Average	28	0-2	1.64	.64	37/54 69%
INTASC STANDARD 8: Instructional Strategies					
	Candidate N =	Range of scores	Mean	Standard Deviation	Candidates who achieved target (%)
Mentor Teachers					
Fall 2015	32	0-2	1.77	.43	17/32 53%
Spring 2016	22	0-2	1.57	.56	10/22 50%
Average	28	0-2	1.67	.50	27/54 50%
University Supervisors					
Fall 2015	32	0-2	1.72	.65	22/32 69%
Spring 2016	22	0-2	1.57	.56	17/22 77%

Average	28	0-2	1.65	.61	39/54 72%
INTASC STANDARD 9: Professional Learning and Ethical Practice					
	Candidate N =	Range of scores	Mean	Standard Deviation	Candidates who achieved target (%)
Mentor Teachers					
Fall 2015	32	0-2	1.77	.43	18/32 56%
Spring 2016	22	0-2	1.56	.57	18/22 82%
Average	28	0-2	1.67	.50	36/54 67%
University Supervisors					
Fall 2015	32	0-2	1.66	.66	21/32 66%
Spring 2016	22	0-2	1.62	.62	18/22 82%
Average	28	0-2	1.64	.64	39/54 72%